

April 22, 1997

Ms. Dolly Potter Environmental Manager Solvay Minerals, Inc. P.O. Box 1167 Green River, WY 82935

Subject: Dispersion Modeling

Exhibits

Dear Dolly:

Enclosed please find the dispersion modeling exhibits for the Mine Expansion project. We have plotted an isopleth of concentration for both the 24 hour and the annual particulate concentrations. I have also enclosed an exhibit that shows the location of the project in relation to the other major sources in the region. This does not present modeling results, but I thought you may want to use it to demonstrate the relative distance to the other sources beyond the Significant Impact Level (SIL). The proposed project reaches the SIL at the edge of the 11x17 exhibit, which would be a very small circle on the 81/2x11 exhibit.

Please be aware that we have not assigned exhibit numbers to these exhibits and may not have referred to them in the text.

Sincerely,

David Gaige, P. E. Air Program Manager

CDG:cdg

Enclosures

SECTION SIX - RESULTS

| TO DOWN POTTER | From YUHAS |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| | Co. Windows d-Clyde |
| Phone # | Phone # 617-863-0667 |
| Fax # (307) 872 - 5876 | Fax # |

6.1 - IMPACTS DUE TO EXPANSION

As part of the modeling analysis, just those impacts from the proposed are compared with deMinimis monitoring levels and significant impacts levels (SILs). Impacts greater than the deMinimis monitoring levels indicate the need for preconstruction monitoring data to be collected (or a reasonable substitute to be available.) If impacts are shown to be above the SILs then a cumulative impact analysis is required to demonstrate compliance with the NAAQS, WAAQS, and PSD increment.

Table 6-1 presents the modeling results for impacts due to emissions from the facility expansion. Maximum impacts/are shown in this table.

Freach averaging period

Table 6-1

Maximum Impacts from Emissions due to the Facility Expansion

| | Averaging | | Modeled Impacts | Significant Impact | DeMinimis Monitoring |
|-----------|-----------|------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Pollutant | Period | Year | | Level | Level |
| | | | (μg/m ³⁾ | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) |
| PM10 | 24-hour | 1987 | 29.0 | 5 | 10 |
| | | 1988 | 38. | 5 | 10 |
| | | 1989 | 31.9 | 5 | 10 |
| | | 1990 | 35.8 | 5 | 10 |
| | | 1991 | 34.5 | 5 | 10 |
| | Annual | 1987 | 8.2 | 1 | |
| | | 1988 | 9.0 | 1 | |
| | | 1989 | 8.7 | l | |
| | | 1990 | 7.9 | 1 | |
| | | 1991 | 9.3 | 1 | |
| СО | 1-hour | 1987 | 847 | 2000 | |
| | 1 1 | 1988 | 886 | 2000 | |
| | 1 | 1989 | 970 | 2000 | |
| | | 1990 | 820 | 2000 | |
| | | 1991 | 795 | 2000 | |
| | 8-hour | 1987 | 193 | 500 | 575 |
| | | 1988 | 268 | 500 | 575 |
| | { | 1989 | 236 | 500 | 575 |
| | } | 1990 | 244 | 500 | 575 |
| | | 1991 | 259 | 500 | 575 |
| NOX | Annual | 1987 | · | 1 | 14 |
| | [[| 1988 | | 1 | 14 |
| | | 1989 | | 1 | 14 |
| | | 1990 | | | 14 |
| | j l | 1991 | | 1 | 14 |

6.2 AAQS Compliance Assessment

Those pollutants which show impacts in excess of the SILs are included in a cumulative AAQS compliance demonstration. As discussed in Section 5, modeled high-second high impacts for the entire Solvay facility (existing and expansion sources) are combined with monitored background levels for comparison with the NAAQS and the WAAQS. Only PM₁₀ impacts were required to be included in this analysis. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 6.2.

TABLE 6.2 - NAAQS/WAAQS Compliance Demonstration

| Pollutant | Averaging Period | Year | Solvay Impact (HSH) (µg/m3) | Monitored Impact (µg/m3) | Cumulative Impact (µg/m3) | AAQS (μg/m3) |
|--------------|---------------------|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| PM10 24-hour | | 1987 | 25.9 | 34 | 59.9 | 150 |
| | | 1988 | 29.6 | 34 | 636 | 150 |
| | | 1989 | 28.6 | 34 | 62.6 | 150 |
| | | 1990 | 29.4 | 34 | 63.4 | 150 |
| | | 1991 | 28.2 | 34 | 62.2 | 150 |
| | Annual | 1987 | 8.2 | 10 | 18.Z | 50 |
| | | 1988 | 9.0 | 10 | 19.0 | 20 |
| | | 1989 | 8.7 | 10 | 18.7 | 50 |
| | | 1990 | 7.9 | 10 | 17.9 | 50 |
| | | 1991 | 9.3 | 10 | 19.3 | 50 |

6.3 PSD Increment Analysis

Those pollutants with PSD Increments that have modeled impacts that exceed the SILs (list them here) are included the PSD Increment Analysis. The increment analysis includes all sources permitted after the PSD baseline was triggered. This includes all of the Solvay facility. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 6.3.

that analyses are required for co.

TABLE 6.3 - Class I PSD Increment Analysis

| Pollutant | Averaging Period | Year | Solvay Impact (HSH) (µg/m3) | PSD Class II Increment (µg/m3) |
|--------------|---------------------|------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| PM10 24-hour | | 1987 | 25.9 | 30 |
| | | 1988 | 29.6 | 30 |
| | | 1989 | 28.6 | 30 |
| | | 1990 | 29.4 | 30 |
| | | 1991 | 28.2 | 30 |
| | Annual | 1987 | 8.2 | 17 |
| | | 1988 | 9.0 | 17 |
| | | 1989 | 8.7 | 17 |
| | | 1990 | 7.9 | 17 |
| | | 1991 | 9.3 | 17 |

6.4 HAPS

TABLE 6-4 HAPS

6.5 Plume Visibility Compt 4000

6.6 Regional Haze

6.7 Acid Deposition

A screening level assessment of acid deposition impact is typically performed using a technique presented by Fox (1983). This technique quantitatively estimates the change in pH on a sensitive water body (i.e., mountain lake) by incorporating predicted ambient concentrations of SO₂ and NO₂. In addition, the conversion of predicted NO₂ concentrations from the Solvay facility to applicable nitrate deposition values for use in the Fox technique was performed according to the procedures present on page 5-6 of the previously cited IWAQM document. Since SO₂ emissions from the Solvay facility will be minimal, evaluating impacts from resulting sulfate deposition is not necessary. The predicted NO₂ impacts from the